

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/106,390

Applicant(s)

Thomson, James A.

Examiner

Deborah Clark

Group Art Unit 1633



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):
(1) Deborah Clark	(3)
(2) Carl Schwartz	
Date of Interview Oct 16, 2000	
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given t	to \square applicant \square applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	s 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
	g to insert the word "pluripotent" prior to the word "human" in each lition for allowance. Applicants agreed. Applicants' interview
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the a the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s	separate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST I	cate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP on has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH IT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requirer claims are now allowable, this completed for	ove (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to ments that may be present in the last Office action, and since the m is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.